4



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/678,110	10/02/2000	Louis B. Rosenberg	IMMR-070/01US	4151
22903 75	7590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER	
COOLEY GODWARD LLP			NELSON, ALECIA DIANE	
ATTN: PATENT GROUP 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER			2675	
RESTON, VA 20190-5061			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	, 22

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 00/07**8**H0 09/678,NO HOLLBERG ET AL. Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit 2675 Alecia D. Nelson -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: _____. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ___

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that the combine references Marcus (U.S. Patent No. 5,643,087) and Siddiqui (U.S. Patent no. 5,912,661) fail to disclose providing haptic force sensations to a controllable member based on a plurality of functions. However, Marcus teaches an input device including programmable digital force feedback which is interactive with a computer simulation or video game. Wherein, in the computer simulation, such as flight simulators, the user is allowed to feel a dfference in performance between small airplanes and high performance airplanes, and to feel environmenta forces, such as air turbulence or updrafts. All of which are functions of the flight simulation. It is also taught that the video games that the device is capable of navigating in a three-dimensiona environment, such as a fighting game the invention simulates to the operator's finge the feel of collision with objects encountered during the game or simulation and interaction with terrains of different textures. All of which are functions of the fighting game. Furthermore Saddiqui is used in combination with Marcus to teach the usage of the wheel member Therefore it is believed that the combined references does teach providing haptic force sensations to the rotatable member, wherein the haptic sensations are associated with a plurality of functions as disclosed by Marcus and Saddiqui.

DENNIS-DOON CHOW PRIMARY EXAMINER